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OBJECTIVES

• Explain the neurohormonal pathophysiology of heart failure and the mechanism of action of the various agents used to manage HF.
• Review the therapeutics of HFrEF and compare the recently FDA-approved medications to the existing medications.
• Identify changes in the updated HFrEF guidelines and the potential for applying them to your patients.

RESOURCES

• 2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure
  – Circulation 13;128:e240-e327
• 2016 ACC/AHA/HFSA Focused Update on New Pharmacological Therapy for Heart Failure: An Update of the 2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure
  – http://professional.heart.org/professional/GuidelinesStatements/searchresults.jsp?q=&y=2016&t=

AHA/ACC Applying Class of Recommendation

AHA/ACC Applying Level of Evidence

HF STATISTICS

• Affects 5.7 million US residents
  – May increase 46% from 2012-2013 – >8M
  – 915,000 new cases/y
  – Causes or contributes to ~ 300,000 deaths/y
• Annual impact on health care resources
  – ~1 mill hospitalizations/y
  – ~4.3 d/stay with >4% mortality
  – ~$31 billion/y for direct/indirect costs
  – Number 1 cause of hospitalizations in the elderly
• Medicare -> cost than on any other diagnosis & treatment

Heart Disease & Stroke Facts – 2016. AHA. Circ 16;133:338-360
PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

- Myocardial injury – Progressive disease
- Adaptive mechanisms to maintain perfusion
  - Increased preload to maintain CO
  - Activation of neurohumoral systems
    - NE release – maintain contractility
    - Activation RAAS (& other systems) – maintain arterial pressure & perfusion of vital organs
  - Myocardial remodeling – mass of contractile tissue is augmented
- May be adequate initially
  - Chronic becomes maladaptive

BIOLOGICALLY ACTIVE TISSUE & CIRCULATING SUBSTANCES

- Renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system (RAAS)
- Sympathetic nervous system (norepinephrine)
- Vasopressin (ADH)
- Vasoactive peptides: natriuretic peptides (NP), bradykinin, adrenomedullin, substance P, calcitonin gene-related peptide, angiotensin 1-7
- NO, prostaglandins
- Many proinflammatory cytokines

Neurohormones in HF

- SNS – epinephrine, NE
  - Vasoconstriction
  - Increase HR, contractility, RAAS activity, vasopressin
- RAAS – angiotensin II
  - Vasoconstriction
  - Increase BP, SNS, aldosterone, hypertrophy, fibrosis
- NP peptides
  - Vasodilation
  - Decrease BP, SNS, vasopressin, aldosterone, hypertrophy, fibrosis
  - Increase natriuresis, diuresis

ADVERSE EFFECTS OF NEUROHORMONES

- Angiotensin II, Aldosterone
- Na & H2O retention, Cardiac/vascular hypertrophy, Apoptosis, Ischemia, Arrhythmias, Ventricular/vascular remodeling, Fibrosis, Abnormal central and peripheral hemodynamics, Atherothrombosis, Stimulation of proinflammatory mediators

NEUROHORMONES IN HF

- Development and progression of HF
  - Progressive loss of compensatory vasoactive peptides to counter the actions of vasoconstrictor neurohormonal systems – RAAS and SNS
- Effects of vasoactive natriuretic peptides (NP) are blunted in HF
  - Reduced ability of the circulation to counter the maladaptive actions of RAAS & NE

NEUROHORMONES IN HF

- Neprilysin
  - Degrades NPs, bradykinin and adrenomedullin
  - Levels increase in HF
  - Resistance to compensatory vasoactive peptides
- Neprilysin inhibition
  - Enhances effects of NP, bradykinin, adrenomedullin and angiotensin (1-7) on heart, renal and adrenal
  - Counter maladaptive effects of RAAS, NE
WHY SYMPTOM RELIEF IS NOT ENOUGH – HFrEF

- Symptomatic disease
  - Symptoms limit functional capacity & affect quality of life
- A progressive disease
  - Worsening symptoms & clinical deterioration
    - Repeated hospitalization & death
- Therapy to manage symptoms, slow/reverse progression and decrease mortality

SYSTOLIC DYSFUNCTION
Decreased Contractility

- Heart failure with reduced EF < 40% (HFrEF)
- Increased preload – congestion
- Diastolic function normal or impaired
- Neurohormonal activation
- LV wall thickness is decreased
- RCTs showing efficacy with drugs only in these patients

HEART FAILURE (HFrEF)

- Conventional therapy – relief of symptoms
  - Diuretics, digoxin
- Reduction in morbidity & mortality therapy
  - Hydralazine/Isosorbide (early 1980s)
  - ACEIs (late 1980s)
  - β-blockers (mid 1990s)
  - Aldosterone antagonists (late 1990s)
  - ARBs (early 2000s)
  - Neprilysin inhibitors (2016)

DIURETICS

- Must reach the site of action
  - Organic acid secretory pathway secretes drug into the tubule lumen fluid
  - Action at thick ascending limb of loop of Henle
  - Must attain a threshold level for diuresis to occur
- Duration of action ~ 6h, torsemide up to 12h
  - Na+ is reabsorbed when diuretic concentration falls below threshold
  - Rebound Na+ retention
  - > 1xd of an effective dose to maintain response

IV DOSING OF LOOP DIURETICS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanism of diminished response</th>
<th>Moderate – Severe Renal</th>
<th>Heart Failure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impaired delivery to site of action</td>
<td>Diminished nephron response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategy for dosing</td>
<td>↑ dose to ↑ level at ascending limb</td>
<td>↑ frequency of effective dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceiling IV dose, mg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furosemide, bioavailability (F) 50% (10-100%)</td>
<td>80-200</td>
<td>40-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bumetanide, F 80-100%</td>
<td>4-10</td>
<td>1-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torsemide, F 80-100%</td>
<td>20-100</td>
<td>10-20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sem Neph 11;31:483-94 NEJM 98;339:387-95
RELIEF OF SYMPTOMS

DIURETICS

- Relieve fluid retention signs/symptoms
  - Reduces preload without increasing CO
- **Individualize dosage**
  - Normalize JVP
  - Maintain dry weight
  - Prevent fatigue, hypotension, azotemia
    - Follow K+ and renal function
    - Too much diuresis
  - Furosemide – need to individualize dose because of wide variation in absorption

DIGITALIS INVESTIGATION GROUP STUDY (DIG)

- Randomized controlled trial in CHF & NSR
  - Dig vs. placebo plus ACEI (90%) & diuretics (80%)
- Digoxin has additional benefits to ACEIs & diuretics
  - **Reduced heart failure signs/symptoms and hospitalizations**
  - **Did not reduce mortality**

EFFECTS OF DIGOXIN IN HF

- **Decreases s/s of HF**
- Improved NYHA classification
- Increased exercise time
- Modestly increased LVEF
- Increased CO
- **Decreased heart failure hospitalizations**
- **Does not improve survival in CHF**

DIGOXIN SERUM LEVELS

- Narrow therapeutic window
  - **Therapeutic range** about 0.5-2 ng/ml
    - Not helpful for efficacy or defining toxicity
  - Low serum concentrations (< 1 ng/ml) are as beneficial as higher concentrations (1-1.5 ng/ml)
    - Additional benefits not seen at higher concentrations
  - Higher concentrations increase risk of toxicity

DIGOXIN DOSES AND SERUM LEVELS

- **No target dose**
  - Low doses (e.g., ≤ 0.125 mg/d for most)
    - Sufficient to achieve beneficial outcomes
  - High doses increase risk of toxicity
- **Serum levels < 0.9 ng/ml** (e.g. 0.5-0.8 ng/ml)
  - Check to minimize risk of toxicity
  - Decrease dose for higher levels
  - Do not increase dose for low levels

Digoxin for patients with AF and HF: paradise lost or not?

- **“achieving an SDC ≥ 1 ng/ml should no longer be recommended.”**
  - “If lower SDCs can be achieved and maintained, digoxin could still be of use in HF … as a neurohormonal modulator”

Veldhuisen DJ. Editorial. Europ J 13;34:1468-70
DIG CASE

- 85 y/o female with increasing confusion
  - Increasing weakness last few days with a fall
- PMH:
  - DM, AF, CVA 3 mon ago, PMR 2 mon ago, LDL
- Meds:
  - Prednisone, Dipyridamole/ASA, Metformin 500 mg/d, Furosemide 20 mg/d, KCl 20 mEq/d, Verapamil 80 mg TID, Digoxin 0.25 mg/d, Valsartan 160 mg/d, Metoprolol XL 50 mg/d, Ca++

120s/50s, HR 60-75, wt. 66 kg
- Lungs clear, Irregular/irregular
- BUN 19, SCr 0.7, K 4.4, Na 138, CO2 27
- Tn WNL
- Dig level 2.7 (1 month ago 0.6) – confusion?
- Why dig level increased?
  - Verapamil reduces renal excretion
  - Verapamil increases digoxin bioavailability
  - Elderly, female, renal impairment, 0.125 mg/d more appropriate – Dose was increased 1 month prior

“Without question, the greatest advance in the treatment of chronic HF has been the application of agents that inhibit harmful neurohormonal systems that are activated to support the failing heart.”
- Adrenergic & Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone activation
  - Harmful effects
  - Inhibitors have beneficial effects

Braunwald: Heart Disease: A Textbook of CV Medicine, 6th ed

ACEI CLINICAL EFFECTS

- Improve symptoms
  - ↓ preload
  - ↓ SVR and BP (afterload)
  - ↑ CO and exercise tolerance
- Inhibit LV remodeling post-MI
- Modify progression of chronic CHF
  - ↑ Survival – RRR ~28%, NNT 7-22 over 41 mon
  - ↓ Hospitalizations – RRR ~26%
  - Improve quality of life

ACEI USE

- Start with very low dose
- Increase dose as tolerated to HF target dose
  - Dose shown to reduce CV events in studies
  - Try to attain at least intermediate dosages
  - “Do not delay the institution of ß blockers in patients because of a failure to reach target ACEI doses”
- Monitor SCr & serum K+ after 1-2 wks
- Avoid fluid retention/hypovolemia
  - Adjust diuretic dose

ACEI USE


Adapted from Eur Heart J 1992;20:999; Lancet 06;368:1449-56
Attempts Should Be Made to Attain Doses of Drugs Studied in Clinical Trials, and Rapid Outpatient Titration of Drugs Is Feasible

TARGET ACEI DOSE FOR HF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Initial (mg)</th>
<th>Target (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Captopril</td>
<td>6.25 tid</td>
<td>50 tid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enalapril</td>
<td>2.5 bid</td>
<td>10-20 bid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisinopril</td>
<td>2.5-5 qd</td>
<td>20-40 qd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramipril</td>
<td>2.5 qd</td>
<td>10 qd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trandolapril</td>
<td>0.5 qd</td>
<td>4 qd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


ARBs IN HF

- “benefit of ARBs in HF is generally regarded as a class effect … no head-to-head RCTs have been performed” (JAMA 12;307:1506-12)
- **Valsartan** – Initial dose 20-40 mg BID
  - Double dose ~q2wk to **target dose 160 mg BID**
- **Candesartan** – Initial dose 4-8 mg/d
  - Double dose ~q2wk to **target dose 32 mg/d**
- **Losartan** – Initial dose 50 mg/d
  - Increase dose to **target of 150 mg/d**


EFFICACY OF ACEI/ARB IN HF

- Mortality efficacy
  - ACEIs effect is modest (RR 16-27%, p=0.003) vs. other agents (eg, βB, aldosterone inhibitors)
  - ARBs have less benefit
- Symptom benefit
  - Modest long-term effects
  - Lower efficacy vs. other agents
- Do not fully block angiotensin II and escape of RAS from inhibition with long-term therapy

ESC HF 2016. Lancet. Published online December 2, 2016 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30069-2

β BLOCKERS AND CHF

- Historically are contraindicated
  - Negative inotropic acitivity & slow HR
- Metoprolol, carvedilol, bisoprolol
- Increased sympathetic activation in the pathophysiology of CHF
  - Chronic cardiac NE – maladaptive
  - Hypertrophy, ischemia, & myocyte damage
- β blockers inhibit negative actions of chronic increased sympathetic stimulation on failing heart

Sympathetic Nervous System – Epi, NE

α1, β1, β2 receptors

Vasoconstriction

↑HR, ↑RAAS, Na/H2O retention, ↑Contractility, ↑Vasopressin

β Blockers
**β BLOCKERS CLINICAL EFFECTS**
- More RCTs with βBs than with ACEIs
- In RCTs most patients on ACEI or ARB, diuretic
- **Improve symptoms (only long term)**
- **Improve survival – RRR ~34% within 1 y**, NNT 14-23 for 1 y
- Reduce remodeling/progression LV dysfunction
- Reduce hospitalization
- Reduce SCD

**β BLOCKERS DOSING FOR HF**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>β Blocker</th>
<th>Initial (mg)</th>
<th>Target (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bisoprolol* (Zebeta)</td>
<td>1.25 qd</td>
<td>10 qd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carvedilol* (Coreg)</td>
<td>3.125 bid</td>
<td>25 bid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(&gt;85kg 50 bid)</td>
<td>(50 bid)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carvedilol CR* (Coreg CR)</td>
<td>10 qd</td>
<td>80 qd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metoprolol succinate* (Toprol XL)</td>
<td>12.5-25 qd</td>
<td>200 qd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* FDA approved

**ALDOSTERONE RECEPTOR ANTAGONISTS**
- **Spironolactone**
  - **NOT FDA-approved for HF**
  - Initial dose 12.5-25 mg/d; Max dose 25 mg 1-2xd
  - **RALES study** – Recent or current class IV HF
    - 12.5-50 mg/d vs. placebo
    - 30% decrease death, 35% decrease hospitalization
- **Eplerenone (Inspra) FDA-approved**
  - Improve survival of stable patients with LVSD (EF < 40%) & clinical evidence of CHF after an acute MI
  - Selective aldosterone blocker
  - Initial dose 25 mg/d; Max dose 50 mg/d

**ACC/AHA HF 2013 – Stage B HFrEF**
- **Class I**
  - All with history of MI or ACS and reduced EF
    - ACEIs (or ARBs if intolerant) to prevent symptomatic HF and reduce mortality (LOE: A)
    - Evidence-based βBs to reduce mortality (LOE: B)
    - **Statins** for secondary CV prevention. (LOE: A)
  - All with reduced EF even if no MI history to prevent symptomatic HF
    - ACEIs (LOE: A)
    - βBs (LOE: C)

**ACC/AHA HF 2013 – Stage C HFrEF**
- **Drugs for Use in Selected Patient**
  - Hydralazine/isosorbide dinitrate
    - Reduce morbidity/mortality in self-described African Americans with NYHA III–IV receiving optimal ACEIs and βBs, unless contraindicated (Class I, LOE: A)
  - **Digoxin**
    - Can be beneficial, unless contraindicated, to decrease hospitalizations for HF (Class IIa, LOE: B)
DIGOXIN

• “Studies have suggested no benefit but rather increased mortality among patients taking digoxin even in the presence of atrial fibrillation. But digitalis has been declared dead many times and further retrospective analyses or registries add only weak evidence to weak evidence.”

Eur Heart J 14;35:470-1

“Triple Therapy” of HF With ACEI, ßB, and Aldosterone Antagonist May Triple Survival Time. Shouldn’t We Tell Patients?

• Prescription and adherence to medical therapy for HF failure are disappointing despite convincing RCT evidence for ACEI, ßB, and aldosterone antagonism.
• Only one-half of clinicians mention increased lifespan, and very few suggest to the patient how large this gain might be
• For patients whose lifespan is limited by HF, triple therapy triples lifespan

J Am Coll Cardiol HF 14;2:545-8

IS THERE AN UPCOMING PARADIGM SHIFT IN THE MANAGEMENT OF HFrEF??

PARADIGM-HF (Prospective comparison of ARNI with ACEI to Determine Impact on Global Mortality and morbidity in HF)

• 8442 with NYHA II to IV with EF ≤ 40%
  – 72% Class II
  – BNP ≥ 150 (or NT-proBNP ≥ 600) or hospitalized within 1 y and BNP ≥ 100
  – Sacubitril/Valsartan 97/103 mg 2xd vs. Enalapril 10 mg 2xd
  • Most were also on recommended HF therapy
• The primary outcome a composite of death from CV causes and HF hospitalization

NEJM 14;371:993-1004

PARADIGM-HF

• Stopped early at median of 27 months due to overwhelming benefit
• Death/hospitalization
  – Sacubitril/Valsartan 21.8% vs Enalapril 26.5% (HR 0.80; p<0.001) – NNT 21 over ~ 2 years
• CV Mortality 13.3% vs. 16.5% (HR 0.80, p<0.001)
• Superior to inhibition of the RAAS alone

NEJM 14;371:993-1004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sacubitril/ARB</th>
<th>Enalapril</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypotension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms, SBP &lt;90</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scr Increased</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 2.5</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 3</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K Increased</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 5.5</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 6</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NEJM 14;371:993-1004
NEUROHORMONES IN HF

- Combined use of RAAS inhibitors and neprilysin inhibitors
  - Increased cardiac and vascular benefits vs. monotherapy
  - Potentiation of vasoactive peptides reduces adverse renal perfusion effects of ACEIs/ARBs

ACEIs plus neprilysin inhibition (omapatrilat)
- May have > efficacy on cardiac function, BP, mortality, and decrease hospitalization than ACEI monotherapy
- < renal adverse effect than ACEI alone
- Significantly increases bradykinin
  - Increased risk of angioedema
- Studies were stopped

Sacubitril/Valsartan (Entresto)
- Angiotensin Receptor Neprilysin Inhibitor (ARNI)
- Neprilysin degrades vasoactive peptides
  - Neprilysin may be increased in HF (part of pathophys maladaptation in HF)
- Sacubitril is a neprilysin inhibitor
- Valsartan is an ARB

Sacubitril/Valsartan (Entresto)
- FDA-approved indication
  - Reduce risk of CV death & hospitalization for HF in NYHA Class II-IV HF & decreased EF
  - When used will be in place of ACEI or ARB
Sacubitril/Valsartan (Entresto)

- **ADE**
  - Hypotension, hyperkalemia, cough, dizziness, angioedema and renal failure
- **Contraindicated**
  - h/o angioedema with previous ACEI/ARB
  - Concomitant with ACEI – ↑ risk angioedema
- **Precautions**
  - Monitor for s/s angioedema/ hypotension
  - SCr & serum K should be monitored periodically

Neprilysin Inhibition – A Novel Therapy for HF

- “The beneficial results seen in PARADIGM-HF may apply to a wide spectrum of patients, even those who are currently receiving the best possible therapy.”

Jessup M. *edit* NEJM 14;371:1062-4

Number of trials with p <0.05 to provide the same level of evidence as PARADIGM-HF on the primary outcome and CV death

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of trials p&lt;0.05</th>
<th>P required by 1 trial to provide same evidence</th>
<th>PARADIGM-HF p for primary endpoint</th>
<th>PARADIGM-HF p for CV death</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2*</td>
<td>0.00125*</td>
<td>0.00008 (equiv 2-3 trials)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.000003125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.000000078</td>
<td>0.00000004 (equiv 4-5 trials)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.00000000195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Usual regulatory requirement – 2 trials at p<0.05 or 1 trial at p<0.00125

Heart 2016;102:1342–1347

AHA 2016 Stage C HFrEF Recommendations – **Class I**

- Clinical strategy of inhibition of RAAS with:
  - ACEIs (LOE A) OR
  - ARBs (LOE A) OR
  - ARNI (LOE B-R)
- **IN CONJUNCTION WITH**
  - Evidence-based BBs AND
  - Aldosterone antagonists in selected patients
- Is recommended to **reduce morbidity and mortality**

Circulation. Ahead of print May 20, 2016

AHA 2016 Stage C HFrEF Recommendations – **Class I**

- ACEIs beneficial with prior or current symptoms of chronic HFrEF to reduce morbidity/mortality (LOE A)
  - Class effect
    - Although the use of an ARNI in lieu of an ACEI has been found to be superior, for those patients for whom ARNI is not appropriate, continued use of an ACEI for all classes of HFrEF remains strongly advised
AHA 2016 Stage C HFrEF Recommendations – Class I

• **ARBs** to reduce morbidity & mortality **recommended** with prior or current symptoms of chronic HFrEF who are **intolerant to ACEIs because of cough or angioedema** (LOE A)
  – Head-to-head comparisons of an ARB vs. ARNI do not exist.
  – For patients for whom an ACEI or ARNI is inappropriate, use of an ARB remains advised.

2016 ESC HF Guidelines

• Sacubitril/valsartan is recommended as a replacement for an ACEI to further reduce the risk of HF hospitalization and death in ambulatory patients with HFrEF who remain symptomatic despite optimal treatment with an ACEI, a ßB and an MRA

NEW PARADIGM?

• “The newly available valsartan/sacubitril … promises to disrupt this stack of therapies [ACEI, ßB, spironolactone]”
• “… this agent is anticipated to displace the cornerstone of ACEi/ARB, on top of which the other therapies have been tested.”

Udelson JE, Stevenson JW. Circ 16;133:2671-86

WHEN TO USE SACUBITRIL/VALSARTAN

• PARADIGM-HF trial did not include ACEI or ARB naïve with newly diagnosed HFrEF
• Should ACEIs/ARBs be used for awhile before switch to sacubitril/valsartan?
• FDA includes dosing start in ACEI/ARB-naïve patients
• Conducting a trial in ACEI/ARB-naïve probably will not occur

Heart 2016;102:1342-1347

USE OF SACUBITRIL/VALSARTAN

• New diagnosis of NYHA class II-IV HFrEF
  – Use ACEI (or ARB) rather than sacubitril-valsartan for initial therapy (Grade 2C)
  – Some have suggested sacubitril-valsartan as initial therapy for HFrEF
    • “we feel that at this time there is insufficient clinical experience to recommend its use as initial therapy”

Use of angiotensin II receptor blocker and neprilysin inhibitor in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction. UpToDate. Updated 10/25/16
USE OF SACUBITRIL/VALSARTAN
- Stable mild-moderate HFrEF with all of the following, “we suggest use of sacubitril-valsartan in place of ACEI/ARB (Grade 2B)”
  - BNP ≥150 or NT-proBNP ≥600 or hospitalized for HF within previous 12 mon
  - BNP ≥100 or NT-proBNP ≥400, SBP ≥100, eGFR ≥30, and tolerance to ACEI or ARB (eg, enalapril 10 mg 2x/d for ≥4 wks)
  - Other factors: patient acceptance/tolerance of drug changes, limited experience with sacubitril-valsartan outside of controlled trials, and cost

USE OF ANGIOTENSIN II RECEPTOR BLOCKER AND NEPRILYSIN INHIBITOR IN HEART FAILURE WITH REDUCED EJECTION FRACTION

HF BIOMARKERS AS A MANAGEMENT TOOL
- BNP and NT-proBNP should decrease after several days of effective diuresis
- Increased risk of death and readmit if discharge levels are not < admit – not euvoletic
- Discharge “dry” level may be useful to judge future decompensated HF (“baseline” BNP)
- May be useful to help titrate therapy
  - ↑ levels may suggest need for increasing therapy

EFFECTS OF NEPRILYSIN INHIBITION ON SERUM PEPTIDES
- May be prognostic benefit to biomarker-guided therapy – ongoing study GUIDE-IT
- Decreases breakdown of BNP
  - Increase in serum BNP
  - ACEIs & ARBs improve hemodynamics and LV function leading to decrease in serum BNP
- Increased BNP
  - Decreases stimulus for natriuretic peptide synthesis
  - Decrease in serum NT-proBNP

Prognostic Implications of Changes in NT-proBNP in Patients With HF
- Subanalysis of PARADIGM-HF data
  - 2,080 had NT-proBNP measured, 62% > 1000 pg/ml
  - At 1 month in 24% the level was ≤ 1000
    - 59% lower risk of HF hospitalization & CV death vs. >1000
    - 31% on combination ≤ 1000 vs 17% on enalapril
  - Decrease in NT-proBNP – lower risk of CV death or HF hospitalization regardless of treatment group

IVABRADINE (CORLANOR)
- Slows HR by inhibiting I(f) the funny current
  - No effect on contractility
- Reduces risk of hospitalization for worsening HF with EF < 35% who are in sinus rhythm with resting HR > 70/min & on max doses of βBs or a contraindication to βB
  - Has not been shown to reduce mortality
- Expensive ~$375/month

AHA 2016 STAGE C HFrEF RECOMMENDATIONS – CLASS IIa
- Ivabradine can be beneficial to reduce HF hospitalization for patients with symptomatic (NYHA class II-III) stable chronic HFrEF (LVEF ≤35%) who are receiving GDEM, including a βB at max tolerated dose, and who are in NSR ≥70 at rest (LOE B-R)
**Benefits of Evidence-Based Therapies In HFrEF**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Therapy</th>
<th>RR all-cause mortality (%)</th>
<th>NNT over time</th>
<th>NNT over 12 mo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACEI/ARB</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22 over 42 mo</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARNI</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>36 over 27 mo</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ßB</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>28 over 12 mo</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldo antag</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9 over 24 mo</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydralazine/nitrate</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>25 over 10 mo</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRT</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>12 over 24 mo</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14 over 60 mo</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ARNI, angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitor; CRT cardiac resynchronization therapy; ICD, implantable cardioverter defibrillator

**WILL THIS BE THE PARADIGM SHIFT IN THE MANAGEMENT OF HFrEF?**

- LVAD, Transplant
- Hydralazine/isosorbide dinitrate
- Digoxin
- Ivabradine
- ICD or CRT
- Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist
- ß-Blockers
- Sacubitril/Valsartan (if intolerant then ACEI or ARB)

Ongoing Symptoms NYHA Class II-IV

Heart 2016;102:1342–1347